

### Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/868,996

Applicant(s)

BLASCHKE ET AL.

Examiner

Sara Clarke

Art Unit

3749

#### All Participants:

(1) Sara Clarke.

(2) M. Kestenbaum.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 2 May 2005

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

#### Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Kestenbaum agreed to examiner's amendment to put specification to include a brief description of the drawing and to clarify which sections are the brief description and the detailed description.

#### Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)